

Nanopublication — Motion After Diffraction — Does the Idea Survive the Crossing?

by Arnaud Quercy · After the Crossing · 2026

Claim 2: Motion After Diffraction — Does the Idea Survive the Crossing?

The Manifesto states: "The wave seeks to continue. Not your name. Not your ego. The invariant — the structural skeleton that survives translation — persisting through *ouverture* after [1] *ouverture*, generation after generation." This is the claim I was testing in making this piece. The question I could not answer while working, and cannot answer now, is whether a diffracted idea retains sufficient invariant structure to continue propagating — or whether the crossing consumes it.

CONTEXT

The form I built is still in motion. From every angle it reads as directional — ascending, released, going somewhere. It has not stopped at the *ouverture*. It has come through and is continuing. That was a deliberate choice: I wanted the post-diffraction idea to carry kinetic energy, not to be a residue or a remnant. Proposition 10 states that "the wave outlives the emitter if the material survives" — that ideas persist through documentation, preservation, transformability. I read this as a claim about the robustness of the invariant across crossings. What survives diffraction is not the original form — the spiral seam makes that explicit — but something structural, something that allows the wave to keep moving.

What I am uncertain about is the relationship between the form's openness and its capacity to continue. The opening that records the crossing also makes the form aerodynamically unstable in ways a closed form would not be. Whether that instability is a problem — whether an idea that has been fully diffracted is harder to emit again, harder to carry through a second *ouverture* — is a question the Propositions do not yet address directly. Proposition 17 says a single

emission produces N creations across N *ouvertures*. But what happens to the idea after the first crossing? Does it diffract again from its new form? Does it accumulate crossings? This piece is my attempt to hold that question as an object. I do not have the answer.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arnaud Quercy (2026). *After the Crossing* — Catalog raisonné. <https://arnaudquercy.art/en/catalogue-raisonne/AQC0973.html>
- [2] AQC — *After the Crossing*. Ceramic, wood. Arnaud Quercy Creations, 2026.
- [3] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. Proposition 10 — Persistence; Proposition 17 — Multiplication of Creation. Multimodal Institute MMI-AX-001. ORCID: 0009-0000-2662-7790.
- [4] Quercy, A. (2026). *Manifesto Ideamorphiste* — Revised Edition. Section: "Propagation as Purpose." Multimodal Institute. Art Quam Anima Publishing, New York.

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	artistic statement
Voice	first person
Epistemic status	open question
Methodology	ideamorphic translation
Certainty	low

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

a37049b32f13dcdcf53065d2930fdb4705dad7e2d-ce67f9b80be46a0054e10a22

Artist	Arnaud Quercy
Date	2026
Collection	Untamed Creations
Asset code	AQC0973
Version	1
Published	2026-04-06

© 2026 Multimodal Institute

Published by: Art Quam Anima Publishing New York LLC — publishing.artquamanima.com

Date of publication: 2026-04-07

Persistent URI: <https://multimodal.institute/en/nanopubs/2026/03/AQC0973-motion-after-diffraction-does-the-idea-survive-the-crossing.pdf>

Content available under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (CC BY-NC 4.0)